The McCourtney Institute for Democracy Mood of the Nation Poll

> PennState College of the Liberal Arts

Survey transparency disclosures¹

Mood of the Nation Poll, conducted Nov. 30 – Dec. 7, 2021

	What survey firm conducted the poll? ^{TI, RC}	The survey was conducted by Penn State's McCourtney Institute for Democracy and YouGov, which conducted the fieldwork.
2.	How were respondents interviewed – by live interviewers on the phone, interactive voice response (IVR), online, self-administered questionnaire, or another method? Selected via Random Digit Dial (RDD), opt-in or some other method? ^{TI, RC} Where possible/applicable, include information about use of incentives (amount and type).	Online
3.	Who paid for the survey (both sponsor and original source of funding if different) and why was it done? ^{TI, RC}	Mood of the Nation Polls are an ongoing research program of Penn State's McCourtney Institute of Democracy, which pays for the survey. Mood of the Nation Polls survey a representative sample of the US adult population several times each year to assess what is on their minds, through a series of open-ended questions, and to probe current topics in the news.

¹ For additional background on the McCourtney Institute and its Mood of the Nation Poll, see <u>https://democracy.psu.edu/</u>

(<u>https://www.aapor.org/AAPOR_Main/media/MainSiteFiles/TI-Terms-and-Conditions-10-4-17.pdf</u>), as well as the Roper Center's Transparency and Acquisition Policy (noted ^{RC};

https://ropercenter.cornell.edu/roper-center-transparency-and-acquisitions-policy).

For findings from this survey and others, see <u>https://www.apmresearchlab.org/collections/surveys</u> Transparency questions are from "CNN's transparency questionnaire for polling standards" (released July 9, 2019; <u>https://www.cnn.com/2019/07/09/politics/read-cnn-transparency-questionnaire-</u>

polling/index.html), adapted to include all requirements of the American Association for Public Opinion Research's Transparency Initiative related to surveys (noted ^{TI}; released October 4, 2017

4.	How many people (unweighted) were interviewed for this survey? ^{RC}	1,200
5.	In what language(s) were respondents interviewed? ^{TI, RC}	English
6.	Please provide a copy of the full text and interviewer instructions/programming for all questions included in this survey release. Include preceding interviewer or respondent instructions and any preceding questions that might reasonably be expected to influence responses to the reported results. ^{TI, RC}	Complete question wording is included with the research briefs that summarize survey findings.
7.	When was the survey conducted? ^{TI, RC}	Nov. 30-Dec. 7, 2021
	What is the source of your sample for this survey (named provider, if relevant), and by what method were respondents selected? Please be as specific as possible, and if via web panel(s),	All Mood of the Nation Polls are fielded by YouGov and each is based on a unique sampling frame drawn from YouGov's internet panel.
	please include a description of how the panelists were recruited, including any within-household procedures. If your study was conducted online and included respondents chosen via routers, approximately what percentage of respondents were directed to the survey via routers? The description of the sampling frame and sample design should include sufficient detail to determine whether the respondents were	The frame was constructed by stratified sampling from the most recent American Community Survey (ACS) 1-year sample with selection within strata by weighted sampling with replacement (using the person weights on the public use file).
	selected using probability or non-probability methods. ^{TI, RC}	The matched cases were weighted to the sampling frame using propensity scores. The matched cases and the frame were combined and a logistic regression was estimated for inclusion in the frame. The propensity score function included age, gender, race/ethnicity, years of education, and region. The propensity scores were grouped into deciles of the estimated propensity score in the frame and post-stratified according to these deciles.
		The target sample size for MOTN polls is typically N=1000, but occasionally N=1200 or N=1500. YouGov completes interviews with approximately 115% of the desired
Ī		McCourtney Institute for Democracy

 9. If any quotas were applied to sampling or interviewing, at what stage were they applied, what variables and targets were used, and wh the source of your estimate of the target quot TI 	at is propensity scoring.
10. What is the universe of people you are trying survey, and what makes you confident that th sample source represents that universe? Inclu both a definition of the population under stud and its geographic location. ^{TI, RC}	e citizens, age 18 years and older. de YouGov's track record of producing
11. A description of the sampling frame(s) and its coverage of the target population, including mention of any segment of the target population that is not covered by the design. This many include, for example, exclusion of Alaska and Hawaii in U.S. surveys; exclusion of specific provinces or rural areas in international survey and exclusion of non-panel members in pane surveys. If possible, the estimated size of non-covered segments will be provided. If a size estimate cannot be provided, this will be explained. If no frame or list was utilized, this be indicated. Include sample size (by frame if more than one was used). TI, RC	include English language only, internet access, and biases inherent to self- selection into YouGov's paid panel. Note that YouGov's approach, as outlined in question 8, attempts to correct for these biases.
12. If surveys were conducted by telephone, what percentage of interviews were conducted via to cellphones? If surveys were conducted onli were respondents allowed to complete the survey via mobile browsers, and approximatel what share of your respondents did so? ^{RC}	calls completed via desktop, 47% ne, completed via mobile phone or tablet.
13. If surveys were conducted by telephone, how many callback attempts did a sampled numbe receive before being retired?	er NA

14. If surveys were not conducted by a live interviewer, what do you do to ensure your respondents are real people and are paying attention to the survey?	In addition to YouGov identification of speeders, multiple completions from the same IP address, MOTN surveys include several open-ended questions that require respondents to engage with the survey beyond simply clicking boxes.
15. What is your estimate of this survey's error, how is it calculated, and why is this an appropriate error estimation for your survey? If you are reporting a margin of sampling error, has it been adjusted for design effects?	The maximum estimated Margin of Sampling Error for this survey ± 3.7 percentage points. This accounts for design effects due to
For probability samples, the estimates of sampling error will be reported, and the	weighting.
discussion will state whether or not the reported margins of sampling error or statistical analyses have been adjusted for the design effect due to weighting, clustering, or other factors. Disclosure requirements for non-probability samples are different because the precision of estimates from such samples is a model-based measure (rather than the average deviation from the population value over all possible samples). Reports of non-probability samples will only provide measures of precision if they are accompanied by a detailed description of how the underlying model was specified, its assumptions validated and the measure(s) calculated. To avoid confusion, it is best to avoid using the term "margin of error" or "margin of sampling error" in conjunction with non- probability samples. ^{TI}	Although the YouGov sample is not a probability sample, its empirical margin of error is accurately calculated by a design- effect-adjusted application of the central limit theorem.* Specifically, the variance of any poll estimate = d2 x (p (1-p)/n), where p is the survey estimate expressed as a proportion and d2 is the design effect (DEFF), which averages 1.5 for this survey. * Ansolabehere, S. and Rivers, D., 2013. Cooperative survey research. Annual Review of Political Science, 16, pp.307- 329.
16. If your survey has been weighted, please list the weighting variables and the source of the weighting parameters. If your survey has not	Analysis weights are post-stratification weights based on the most recent Presidential vote choice, and a four-
been adjusted for education, please explain why and provide an unweighted frequency for education distribution among your respondents. TI, RC	way stratification of gender, age (4- categories), race (4-categories), and education (4-categories).
17. Is there a minimum unweighted sample size you require before releasing any subset estimates, and if so, what is it?	N=30 (however most estimates are based on Ns of over 200).

 18. Does this report rely on multiple samples or multiple modes? (If the results reported are based on multiple samples or multiple modes, the preceding items will be disclosed for each.)^{TI} 	1 mode: online.
 19. Response Rate/Participation Rate: Response rate calculated to AAPOR standards, or sample disposition data adequate for the calculation of AAPOR-standard response rates. When AAPOR-standard response rates or sample disposition data cannot be calculated or provided, completion or participation rates shall be provided using another method that is fully disclosed. ^{RC} Where possible, also include Breakoff Rate (i.e., the percent of respondents who start the survey but do not finish it). 	NA, survey conducted online.
20. Contact for obtaining more information about the study. [™]	Professor Eric Plutzer, Director of Polling, McCourtney Institute of Democracy, Penn State University, exp12@psu.edu

Frequencies for key variables used in the analysis

Weighted data are used in the analysis. Unweighted data are shown here as a measure of transparency.

Gender

		Unweighted		Weighted	
		Frequency Percent		Frequency	Percent
1 Ma	le	511	42.6	577	48.1
2 Fer	nale	689	57.4	623	51.9
Total	otal 1200		100.0	1200	100.0

Age

	Unweighted		W	eighted
	Frequency Percent		Frequency	Percent
1 18 to 29	227	18.9	245	20.4
2 30 to 44	284	23.7	306	25.5
3 45 to 64	441	36.8	392	32.7
4 65 & older	248	20.7	257	21.4
Total	1200	100.0	1200	100.0

Race and ethnicity

	Unweighted		Wei	ghted
	Frequency Percent		Frequency	Percent
1.00 White	856	71.3	752	62.7
2.00 Black	111	9.3	147	12.2
3.00 Hispanic	123	10.3	195	16.3
4.00 Other	110	9.2	106	8.8
Total	1200	100.0	1200	100.0

Educational attainment

		Unweig	hted	Weighted		
		Frequency	Frequency Percent		Percent	
Valid	1.00 High school or less	398	33.2	461	38.4	
	2.00 Some college	358	29.8	365	30.4	
	3.00 College degree or	444	37.0	374	31.1	
	more					
	Total	1200	100.0	1200	100.0	

Leaned party ID

	Unweighted		Weighted	
	Frequency Percent I		Frequency	Percent
1 Democrats	527	43.9	523	43.6
2 Independents	240	20.0	235	19.6
3 Republicans	419	34.9	424	35.4
Total	1186	98.8	1183	98.6
Missing	14	1.2	17	1.4
Total	1200	100.0	1200	100.0

Note: Leaned Party ID is based on the respondents self-identified placement on the scale below.

Party ID

	Unweighte	d	Weighted		
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent	
1 Strong Democrat	304	25.3	291	24.2	
2 Not very strong Democrat	109	9.1	133	11.1	
3 Lean Democrat	114	9.5	100	8.3	
4 Independent	240	20.0	235	19.6	
5 Lean Republican	104	8.7	111	9.3	
6 Not very strong Republican	125	10.4	116	9.7	
7 Strong Republican	190	15.8	197	16.4	
Total	1186	98.8	1183	98.6	
Missing	14	1.2	291	24.2	
Total	1200	100.0	1200	1200	